

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

**CORRELATION BETWEEN REFRACTIVE ERROR,  
AXIAL LENGTH, CORNEAL CURVATURE AND  
AL/CR RATIO AMONG FIRST YEAR  
UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT IN UITM PUNCAK  
ALAM, SELANGOR**

**AMALINA BINTI MOHD HALIL**

**BACHELOR OF OPTOMETRY (HONS)  
FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES**

**JULY 2016**

## AUTHOR'S DECLARATION

I declare that the work in this dissertation was carried out in accordance with the regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA. It is original and is the result of my own work, unless otherwise indicated and acknowledge as referenced work. This topic has not been submitted to any academic institution or non-academic institution for any degree or qualification. In the event that my dissertation be found to violate the condition mentioned above, I voluntarily waive the right of conferring of my degree and agree be subjected to the disciplinary rules and regulation of Universiti Teknologi MARA.

Name of Candidate : Amalina Binti Mohd Halil  
Candidate ID no : 2012475082  
Programme : Bachelor in Optometry (Hons)  
Faculty : Health Sciences  
Thesis Title : Correlation between Refractive Errors, Axial Length,  
Corneal Curvatures and AL/CR ratio among first year  
undergraduate student in UiTM Puncak Alam, Selangor.

Signature of

Candidate



July 2016

## ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This study was designed to study the correlation between refractive error, axial length, corneal curvature, AL/CR ratio and lens power among first year undergraduate students in UiTM Puncak Alam, Selangor. **Methods:** In this cross sectional study, 203 of first year undergraduate students in UiTM Puncak Alam, Selangor of mean age  $20.78 \pm 0.93$  years old volunteered themselves to participate as subjects. They underwent 3 procedures which were subjective refraction without cycloplegia by using Logmar chart, corneal radius (CR) of curvature by using NIDEK Corneal Topography and axial length (AL) by using TOMEY Biometer AL-100. The subjects were characterized according to these 3 variables to establish correlation between them. After determining the value of CR and AL, the ratio were calculated by dividing axial length with corneal radius to use in the analysis. Individuals with a history of ocular trauma, ocular surgery and take medication for systemic or ocular disease were excluded. **Result:** Out of 400 targeted subjects, 200 were included in the analysis. 71.5% of the subject were myopia ( $< -0.50D$ ), 22.5% were emmetropia ( $> -0.50D - < +0.50 D$ ) and 6% were hyperopia ( $< +0.50D$ ). The correlation coefficient between spherical equivalent SE and CR, and AL/CR ratio were 0.187, and 0.829, respectively. The correlation between AL and CR was 0.253. The correlation between lens power and AL/CR ratio was 0.185. The  $r^2$  value of 0.687 suggests that 68.7% of the variance in refractive error can be accounted for the variation in AL/CR ratio. Mean AL/CR ratio was  $3.12 \pm 0.18$ . **Conclusion:** The correlation between SE and AL/CR ratio was significantly stronger as compared to with AL and CR alone.

Keywords : AL/CR ratio, axial length, corneal radius, refractive error.

## **TABLE OF CONTENT**

<b>AUTHOR'S DECLARATION</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	viii
<b>TABLE OF FIGURE</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF EQUATION</b>	x
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xi
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xii
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1    Background	1
1.1.1    Refractive errors	1
1.1.2    Corneal Curvature	2
1.2    Associations between ocular biometric parameters and refractive error.	2
1.2.1    Correlation between refractive error and corneal radius.	3
1.2.2    Correlation between refractive errors and AL/CR Ratio.	3
1.2.3    Correlation between corneal curvature and axial length	4
1.3    Problem statement	4
1.4    Objective	5
1.5    Research Question	5
1.6    Importance	6
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	7
2.1    Introduction	7
2.2    Correlation between refractive error and corneal radius.	7
2.3    Correlation between refractive error and AL/CR ratio	8
2.4    Correlation between corneal radius and axial length	10

<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>	12
3.1    Introduction	12
3.2    Research Design	12
3.3    Research Location	13
3.4    Sample Size And Sampling Method	13
3.4.1    Sample Size	13
3.4.2    Sampling Method	14
3.5    Subject Criteria	15
3.5.1    Inclusion Criteria	15
3.5.2    Exclusion Criteria	15
3.6    Apparatus And Procedure	15
3.6.1    Apparatus	15
3.6.2    Procedure	16
3.7    Data Collection and Analysis	18
 <b>CHAPTER 4 RESULT</b>	 19
4.1    Classification by refractive group.	19
4.2    Correlation between refractive error and corneal radius	21
4.3    Correlation between refractive error and al/cr ratio	23
4.4    Correlation between corneal radius and axial length	25
 <b>CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION</b>	 27
5.1    Introduction	27
5.2    Correlation between refractive error and corneal radius	28
5.3    Correlation between refractive error and AL/CR ratio	29
5.4    Correlation of corneal curvature to axial length	32
 <b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	 33
<b>REFERENCES</b>	35
<b>APPENDICES</b>	38